UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,771	09/19/2005	Osamu Funahashi	MAT-8742US	7878
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 10/23/200 TIA	8	EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482		ELBIN,	JESSE A	
VALLET FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/549,771	FUNAHASHI, OSAMU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JESSE A. ELBIN	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Se</u>	eptember 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>			
	pa		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
-,	•		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	٠.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 September 2005</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	priority under 25 LLC C S 110(a)	(d) or (f)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. have been made in a		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
8) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application Characteristics (PTO/SB/08)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06 May 2008</u> .	6)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment of 31 July 2008 has been entered with the following effects:
 - a. Claims 1 and 6 are currently amended.
 - b. Claims 2-5 and 7 remain as originally presented.
 - c. Figure 5 is accepted as a replacement for originally filed Figure 5.
 - d. The terminal disclaimer relating to US Patents 7.324.659, 7.209,570, and 7,203,333 is accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) (already of record).

Regarding claim 1, Funahashi teaches a loudspeaker (abstract) comprising: a magnetic circuit (#9) having an annular magnetic gap (#14); a frame (#19) coupled to the magnetic circuit (#9 and Fig. 1); a voice coil (#16) movably fitted into the magnetic gap ([0040] lines 1-2); and a diaphragm (#17) coupled to the frame (#19 and Fig. 1) at its periphery via a first edge (#18), wherein a suspension holder (#20) extending

downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm (Figs. 7-9) is coupled to the diaphragm using an adhesive (integrated with the diaphragm; Figs. 7 and [0053] lines 11-14) at a unitary point extending circumferentially about the diaphragm (Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points); and the periphery of the suspension holder (#20) is coupled to the frame (#19) via a second edge (#21) that is symmetric and similar to the first edge (#18 and [0045] lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 2, Funahashi remains as applied above.

Funahashi further teaches the diaphragm (#17) is formed of resin ([0043] lines 3-4).

Regarding claim 3, Funahashi remains as applied above.

Funahashi further teaches the first edge (Fig. 12 #29) and the second edge (Fig. 12 #30) are formed in a semicircular roll shape (Figs. 1, 4-17, and 20-21), respectively, and the first edge (Fig. 12 #29) is protruded toward a magnetic circuit (the roll of the first edge extends downward; Fig. 12 and [0060] line 7) and the second edge (Fig. 12 #30) is protruded toward the diaphragm (roll of the second edge extends upward; Fig. 12 and [0060] lines 7-9).

Regarding claim 4, Funahashi remains as applied above.

Funahashi further teaches the first edge (Fig. 11 #18) and the second edge (Fig. 11 #21) are formed in a semicircular roll shape (Figs. 1, 4-17, and 20-21), respectively, and the first edge (Fig. 11 #18) is protruded toward an opposite side of the magnetic circuit (the roll of the first edge extends upward; Fig. 11 and [0058] lines 7-8) and the second edge (Fig. 11 #21) is protruded toward the magnetic circuit (the roll of the second edge extends downward; Fig. 11 and [0058] lines 7-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1 ('415)) (already of record) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)) (already of record).

Regarding claim 5, Funahashi remains as applied above.

Funahashi does not teach an engaging portion for positioning a coupling portion in which the diaphragm and the suspension holder are integrated with each other.

Art Unit: 2614

In the same field of endeavor, Albinger teaches an engaging portion ('911 Fig. 2 at the area marked by #13), for positioning a coupling portion ('911 Fig. 2 at #47) in which the diaphragm ('911 #14) and the centering ring (suspension holder; '911 #15), are integrated with each other ('911 Fig. 2) for the benefit of ensuring a repeatable and secure fit between the diaphragm and centering ring.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the connection between the diaphragm and suspension as taught by Funahashi with the engaging and coupling portions as taught by Albinger for the benefit of ensuring a repeatable and secure fit between the diaphragm and centering ring.

Regarding claim 6, Funahashi teaches a loudspeaker ('415 abstract) comprising: a magnetic circuit ('415 #9) having an annular magnetic gap ('415 #14); a frame ('415 #19) coupled to the magnetic circuit ('415 #9 and Fig. 1); a voice coil ('415 #16) movably fitted into the magnetic gap ('415 [0040] lines 1-2); and a diaphragm ('415 #17) coupled to the frame ('415 #19 and Fig. 1) at its periphery via a first edge ('415 #18), wherein a suspension holder ('415 #20) extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm ('415 Figs. 7-9) is coupled to the diaphragm using an adhesive (integrated with the diaphragm; Figs 7 and [0053] lines 11-14); and the periphery of the suspension holder ('415 #20) is coupled to the frame ('415 #19) via a second edge ('415 #21) that is symmetric and similar to the first edge ('415 #18 and [0045] lines 3-5)

Funahashi does not explicitly teach the method comprising the steps of: molding the diaphragm and the suspension holder, separately; and coupling the molded diaphragm and the molded suspension holder so as to be integrated with each other.

Page 6

In the same field of endeavor, Albinger teaches the method comprising the steps of: molding the diaphragm ('911 #14) and the centering ring (suspension holder; '911 #15), separately ('911 Fig. 2 illustrates separate components); and connecting (coupling) the molded diaphragm ('911 #14) and the molded centering ring (suspension holder; '911 #15) so as to be integrated with each other ('911 col. 6 lines 45-49) at a unitary point extending circumferentially about the diaphragm (Fig. 1 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points); for the benefit of reducing cost and complexity of molding equipment.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the steps of molding a diaphragm and suspension holder out of resin as taught by Funahashi by molding the parts separately and joining them at assembly as taught by Albinger for the benefit of reducing cost and complexity of molding equipment.

Regarding claim 7, Funahashi and Albinger remain as applied above.

Albinger further teaches using ultrasonic welding to join the diaphragm edge to a plastic part of the frame (the resin-molded diaphragm and the resin-molded suspension

Art Unit: 2614

holder are integrated with each other by welding; '911 col. 1 lines 42-45) for the benefit of producing a uniform, reliable, and rapid attachment ('911 col. 1 lines 45-46).

While Albinger does not explicitly teach connecting the centering ring with the diaphragm by welding, Albinger teaching use of ultrasonic welding to produce a uniform, reliable, and rapid attachment between plastic parts would have made it obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use as the method of connecting the diaphragm and suspension holder as taught by the combination of Funahashi and Albinger.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Art Unit: 2614

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. **Claims 1-7** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 91 of copending Application No. 11/418,143 (now US Patent 7,443,996) in view of Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) and Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)).

Instant Application	Application 11/418143 (PGPub 2006/0215871)
Claim 1	Claim 114
A loudspeaker comprising:	A loudspeaker comprising:
a magnetic circuit having an annular	a magnetic circuit including a
magnetic gap;	magnetic gap;
a frame coupled to the magnetic	
circuit;	
a voice coil movably fitted into the	a voice coil member disposed in the
magnetic gap;	magnetic gap of said magnetic

Application/Control Number: 10/549,771

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 11/418143 (PGPub 2006/0215871)
	circuit and having a movable coil;
and a diaphragm coupled to the frame	a frame linked with an outer peripheral
at its periphery via a first edge,	part of said diaphragm via a first
	edge;
wherein a suspension holder	wherein an inner peripheral part of a
extending downward from a middle	suspension holder is linked with a
portion between an inner periphery	middle section of said diaphragm;
and an outer periphery on a rear	
surface of the diaphragm is	
integrated with the diaphragm at a	
unitary point extending	
circumferentially about the	
diaphragm;	
and the periphery of the suspension	wherein an outer peripheral part of
holder is coupled to the frame via a	said suspension holder is linked
second edge that is symmetric and	with said frame via a second edge;
similar to the first edge.	and wherein the first edge and the
	second edge are substantially
	symmetrical with each other about a
	median of the first edge and the
	second edge.
Claim 3, dependent upon claim 1	Claim 117, dependent upon claim
	114
the first edge and the second edge	the first edge is protruded toward said
are formed in a semicircular roll	magnetic circuit, and the second
shape, respectively, and the roll of	edge is protruded toward said
the first edge extends downward	diaphragm.
and the roll of the second edge	

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 11/418143 (PGPub 2006/0215871)
extends upward.	
Claim 4, dependent upon claim 1	Claim 116, dependent upon claim
	114
the first edge and the second edge	the first edge is protruded toward an
are formed in a semicircular roll	opposite side of said magnetic
shape, respectively, and the roll of	circuit, and the second edge is
the first edge extends upward and	protruded toward said magnetic
the roll of the second edge extends	circuit.
downward.	

PGPub 2006/0215871 does not explicitly claim a magnetic circuit coupled to the frame, nor does it claim the second edge being symmetric and similar to the first edge.

{Funahashi teaches a magnetic circuit (Fig. 1 #9-13) coupled to the frame (Fig. 1 #19), further Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points, and the second edge being formed in a semicircular roll shape (Figs. 1, 4-17, and 20-21) and are symmetric and similar to the first edge ([0045] lines 1-5) for the benefit of providing a flexible connection for centering the voice coil.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the loudspeaker as claimed in the instant application with the magnetic circuit and roll shaped edges for the benefit of providing a flexible connection for centering the voice coil.

Regarding claims 2-7, Funahashi and Albinger teaches all the claimed limitations (see art rejections of claims 2-7 above).

Art Unit: 2614

8. Claims 1-7 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/583044 in view of Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) and Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Application	Application 10/583,044
Claim 1	(PGPub 2007/0177757) Claim 1
A loudspeaker comprising:	A loudspeaker comprising
a magnetic circuit having an annular	
magnetic gap;	
a frame coupled to the magnetic	a magnetic circuit held by the frame,
circuit;	
a voice coil movably fitted into the	a voice coil body disposed so as it can
magnetic gap;	move freely in a magnetic gap of
	the magnetic circuit,
and a diaphragm coupled to the frame	a diaphragm whose outer
at its periphery via a first edge,	circumferential end is connected to
	the frame via a first edge,
wherein a suspension holder	
extending downward from a	
middle portion between an inner	
periphery and an outer periphery	
on a rear surface of the	
diaphragm is integrated with the	
diaphragm at a unitary point	
extending circumferentially about	a suspension holder whose outer

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 10/583,044 (PGPub 2007/0177757)
the diaphragm;	circumferential end is connected to
and the periphery of the suspension	the frame via a second edge;
holder is coupled to the frame via a	
second edge that is symmetric	
and similar to the first edge.	

PGPub 2007/0177757 does not explicitly claim a suspension holder, extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm, is integrated with the diaphragm, nor does it claim the second edge being symmetric and similar to the first edge.

Funahashi teaches a suspension holder (Figs. 7-8 #25 and Fig. 9 #27), extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm, is integrated with the diaphragm (Figs. 7-9), further Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points, and the second edge being symmetric and similar to the first edge ([0045] lines 1-5) for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry ([0048] lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the loudspeaker as claimed in the instant application with the suspension and symmetric edges as taught by Funahashi for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry.

Regarding claims 2-7, Funahashi and Albinger teaches all the claimed limitations (see art rejections of claims 2-7 above).

Art Unit: 2614

9. **Claims 1-7** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/585,942 in view of Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) and Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Application	Application 10/585,942 (PGPub 2007/0121995)
Claim 1	Claim 1
A loudspeaker comprising:	A speaker, comprising:
a magnetic circuit having an annular	a magnetic circuit having a magnetic
magnetic gap;	gap
a frame coupled to the magnetic	and disposed inside of the frame;
circuit;	
a voice coil movably fitted into the	a voice coil body disposed movably in
magnetic gap;	the magnetic gap;
and a diaphragm coupled to the frame	and a diaphragm whose outer
at its periphery via a first edge,	periphery edge is coupled to the
	frame,
	Claim 9, dependent upon claim 1
wherein a suspension holder	a suspension-holder whose an end is
extending downward from a	coupled to the frame and other end
middle portion between an inner	is coupled to a back surface of the
periphery and an outer periphery	diaphragm.
on a rear surface of the diaphragm	
is integrated with the diaphragm_at	
a unitary point extending	
circumferentially about the	
diaphragm;	

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 10/585,942 (PGPub 2007/0121995)
and the periphery of the suspension	
holder is coupled to the frame via a	
second edge that is symmetric	
and similar to the first edge.	

PGPub 2007/0121995 does not explicitly claim the suspension holder, extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery of the diaphragm, nor does it claim the periphery of the suspension holder is coupled via a second edge that is symmetric and similar to the first edge.

Funahashi teaches a suspension holder (Figs. 7-8 #25 and Fig. 9 #27), extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery (Figs. 7-9), further Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points, and the periphery of the suspension holder is coupled via a second edge (Figs. 7-9 #21) that is symmetric and similar to the first edge ([0045] lines 1-5) for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry ([0048] lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the loudspeaker as claimed in the instant application with the suspension and symmetric edges as taught by Funahashi for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry.

Regarding claims 2-7, Funahashi and Albinger teaches all the claimed limitations (see art rejections of claims 2 -7 above).

Art Unit: 2614

10. **Claims 1-7** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of copending Application No. 10/568,278 in view of Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) and Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Application	Application 10/568,278 (PGPub 2006/0285718)
Claim 1	Claim 1
A loudspeaker comprising:	A speaker including:
a magnetic circuit having an annular	a magnetic circuit wherein at least a
magnetic gap;	part of the voice coil is movably
a voice coil movably fitted into the	disposed in a magnetic gap of the
magnetic gap;	magnetic circuit;
	Claim 2, dependent upon claim 1
a frame coupled to the magnetic	the magnetic circuit includes: a ring-
circuit;	shaped plate outer periphery
	thereof being laminated on the
	magnet and inner periphery thereof
	being pushed into the frame
	together with the columnar
	protrusion of the yoke.
	Claim 1
and a diaphragm coupled to the frame	a diaphragm with outer periphery of
at its periphery via a first edge,	the diaphragm being fixed to an
	edge of the opening of the frame

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 10/568,278 (PGPub 2006/0285718)
	through a first edge;
wherein a suspension holder	
extending downward from a	
middle portion between an inner	
periphery and an outer periphery	
on a rear surface of the	
diaphragm is integrated with the	
diaphragm at a unitary point	
extending circumferentially about	and a suspension holder outer
the diaphragm;	periphery thereof being fixed to the
and the periphery of the suspension	frame through a second edge on
holder is coupled to the frame via a	the bottom surface of the diaphragm
second edge that is symmetric and	inside the frame; wherein the first
similar to the first edge.	and the second edges are
	substantially symmetrical with
	respect to a space between the first
	and the second edges,

PGPub 2006/0285718 does not claim wherein a suspension holder, extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm, is integrated with the diaphragm.

{Funahashi teaches a suspension holder (Figs. 7-8 #25 and Fig. 9 #27), extending downward from a middle portion between an inner periphery and an outer periphery on a rear surface of the diaphragm, is integrated with the diaphragm (Figs. 7-9), further Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the diaphragm and

Art Unit: 2614

suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points, for the benefit of making the suspension holder lighter so that sound conversion efficiency is improved ([0055] lines 12-14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the loudspeaker as claimed in the instant application with the suspension as taught by Funahashi for the benefit of making the suspension holder lighter so that sound conversion efficiency is improved.

Regarding claims 2-7, Funahashi and Albinger teaches all the claimed limitations (see art rejections of claims 2 -7 above).

Art Unit: 2614

11. **Claims 1-7** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/549,424 in view of Funahashi et al. (US PGPub 2003/0185415 A1) and Albinger (US Patent 4,029,911 ('911)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Instant Application	Application 10/549,424 (PGPub 2006/0245615)
Claim 1	Claim 1
A loudspeaker comprising:	A loudspeaker comprising:
a voice coil movably fitted into the magnetic gap;	a voice coil unit disposed slidably with respect to
a magnetic circuit having an annular magnetic gap;	a magnetic gap provided in the magnetic circuit;
a frame coupled to the magnetic circuit;	a magnetic circuit disposed inside the frame;
and a diaphragm coupled to the frame	a diaphragm coupled to the frame at
at its periphery via a first edge,	its outer circumferential end part via a first edge;
wherein a suspension holder	and a suspension holder coupled to a
extending downward from a	rear surface of the diaphragm and
middle portion between an inner	
periphery and an outer periphery on	
a rear surface of the diaphragm is	
integrated with the diaphragm at a	
unitary point extending	coupled to the frame at its one end via
circumferentially about the	a second edge;

Art Unit: 2614

Instant Application	Application 10/549,424 (PGPub 2006/0245615)
diaphragm;	
and the periphery of the suspension	
holder is coupled to the frame via a	
second edge that is symmetric	
and similar to the first edge.	
Claim 3, dependent upon claim 1	Claim 2, dependent upon claim 1
the first edge and the second edge	the first edge is allowed to bend
are formed in a semicircular roll	downward and the second edge is
shape, respectively, and the roll of	allowed to bend upward.
the first edge extends downward	
and the roll of the second edge	
extends upward.	
Claim 4, dependent upon claim 1	Claim 3 dependent upon claim 1
the first edge and the second edge	the first edge is allowed to bend
are formed in a semicircular roll	upward and the second edge is
shape, respectively, and the roll of	allowed to bend downward.
the first edge extends upward and	
the roll of the second edge extends	
downward.	

PGPub 2006/0245615 does not explicitly claim the suspension holder extending downward from a middle portion, the first and second edges being formed in a semicircular roll shape, nor does it claim the second edge being symmetric and similar to the first edge.

{Funahashi teaches the suspension holder extending downward from the diaphragm (Figs. 7-9), further Fig. 7 illustrates an overlapping section between the

diaphragm and suspension holder, this overlapping section is at a unitary point, as well as numerous other points, and the second edge being formed in a semicircular roll shape (Figs. 1, 4-17, and 20-21) and are symmetric and similar to the first edge ([0045] lines 1-5) for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry ([0048] lines 8-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the loudspeaker as claimed in the instant application with the suspension and symmetric edges as taught by Funahashi for the benefit of cancelling out their own asymmetry.

Regarding claims 2-7, Funahashi and Albinger teaches all the claimed limitations (see art rejections of claims 2-7 above).

Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 31 July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - a. Applicant argues that the added limitation "at a unitary point extending circumferentially about the diaphragm" is not disclosed by the prior art of record.

Examiner respectfully disagrees that Funahashi ('415) and Albinger ('911) both teach the connection between "a suspension holder" and "the diaphragm" is "at a unitary point extending circumferentially about the diaphragm" as outlined in the above art rejections. The phrase "at a unitary point" when interpreted in view of the transitional word "comprising" used in both claims 1 and 6, merely states a minimum number of points, rather than a maximum number of points. Therefore,

Art Unit: 2614

for the purposes of the art rejections, "at a unitary point" is interpreted as "at least one point", rather than "at most one point".

 Applicant acknowledges the provision obviousness-type double patenting rejections outlined in the office action of 01 May 2008.

Examiner notes that application 11/418,143 has been allowed, and has an issue date of 28 October 2008. Therefore, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection is no longer a provisional, as outlined in the above double patenting rejections.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2614

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JESSE A. ELBIN whose telephone number is (571)270-3710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 6:00pm EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. A. E./
Examiner, Art Unit 2614
/CURTIS KUNTZ/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614